# PATENT COOPERATION THEATY PCT

REC'D 13	MAY 2004
WIPO	PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

06/02657	ile reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)					
International application No.		International filing date (dayli	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.03.2002			
C1/IL 02/00664			PC:				
ternational Patent C 04B10/18	assification (IPC) or	both national classification and i					
pplicant ELIS PHOTONI	CS (ISRAEL) LT	D. et al.					
. This internati Authority and	onal preliminary ex is transmitted to the	camination report has been p he applicant according to Arti	repared by this In icle 36.	ternational Preliminary Examining			
. This REPOR	T consists of a tota	al of 5 sheets, including this	cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Author (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	ces consist of a total						
		s relating to the following iten	ns:	- · ·			
1 🖾 1	Basis of the opinion	n					
	Jasis Ci ale chime	· •					
-				on and industrial applicability			
	Priority Non-establishment	t of opinion with regard to nov	velty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability			
	Priority Non-establishment	t of opinion with regard to nov					
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv	t of opinion with regard to nov	n regard to novelt	ep and industrial applicability  y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents	t of opinion with regard to novention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited	n regard to novelt				
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in	t of opinion with regard to now vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited the international application	n regard to novelt ement				
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in	t of opinion with regard to novention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited	n regard to novelt ement				
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation	t of opinion with regard to now vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited the international application	n regard to novelt ement cation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation	t of opinion with regard to now vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited the international application	n regard to novelt ement	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation	t of opinion with regard to now vention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat s cited the international application	n regard to novelt ement cation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
II	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation of the demand	t of opinion with regard to now rention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat is cited the international application ons on the international applic	n regard to novelt ement cation	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
III	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation of the demand address of the Interning authority: opean Patent Office	t of opinion with regard to now rention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such stat is cited the international application ons on the international applic	pare of completion  10.05.2004  Authorized Officer	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
II	Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inv Reasoned stateme citations and expla Certain documents Certain defects in Certain observation of the demand	t of opinion with regard to now rention ent under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with anations supporting such states is cited the international application ons on the international application	n regard to novelt ement cation  Date of completion  10.05.2004	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;			

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL 02/00664

I. E	3asis	of the	report
------	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages		
	1-18	•	as originally filed	
	Clai	ms, Numbers	produces to the forest	
	1-37	•	as originally filed	
	Drav	wings, Sheets		
	1/5-	5/5	as originally filed	
2. With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
•	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:			
			nslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).	
		the language of publi	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).	
3.	Witi inte	n regard to any <b>nucle</b> rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.	
			e international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.	
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.	
		in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.	
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.	
4.	The	e amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description,	pages:	
		the claims,	Nos.:	
		the drawings,	sheets:	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IL 02/00664

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	Deed countried to do peacing the disciplination and the first terms of

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

2-33 Yes: Claims Novelty (N) 1, 34-37 No: Claims Claims Yes: Inventive step (IS) 1-37 No: Claims Yes: Claims 1-37 Industrial applicability (IA) Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

.11

V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO0167644

D2: WO0219001

D3: WO9710658 D4: US6137604

#### **Novelty**

2.1 Using the wording of the present claim 1, the document D3 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to figure 2 of this document):

Apparatus for correcting distortion (20) on an optical transmission link carrying a multiplicity of optical transmission channels (14), the apparatus comprising: an adjustable optical equaliser (20), through which a plurality of said channels pass;

a field sampler that samples signals passing through said equaliser, such that a plurality of channels passing through the adjustable equaliser are separately sampled (25), and

a controller (26) that receives the samples, determines control parameters for the equaliser therefrom and adjusts the equaliser, responsive to said determined control parameters (27,28).

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.2 The Applicant states that claim 34 clearly defines a system in which the input signal is split into two paths in a parallel manner as in figure 4 of the application, however the present claim 34 also encompasses a system having a main line and a side line as described in D3, figure 4.

Referring again to figure 4 of D3, the first correction apparatus (filter 30) is placed

on the side path and the second correction apparatus (filter 20) is placed on the main line. The beam splitter (22,23) splits the signal into two paths (main line and side path), each carrying substantially the same channels. The controller adjusts the parameters of the main line filter responsive to the compensation in the side path.

The subject-matter of claim 34 is therefore not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.3 Furthermore, the additional features of claims 35-37 are all disclosed in D3 and are therefore **not novel** (Article 33(2) PCT).

#### Inventive step

. 13

- 3.1 The additional features of claims 2-5, 9, 13-15 and 33 are all disclosed in D1.
- 3.2 The additional feature of claims 6-8, where the tunable optical filters comprise a beam splitter and differential delay is known from document D2.
- 3.3 The additional features of claims 16-32 are all different variations on how the controller determines and sets the initial control parameters. These methods are all well known and obvious for the man skilled in the art.
- 3.4 The dependent claims 10-12 refers to an embodiment where some of the channels are passed through an additional distortion correction apparatus. To divide the wavelength band into sub-bands and then correct each sub-band individually is well known from the prior art, see for example document D4, abstract and figure 2.
- Hence, claims 2-33 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).